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### Overview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marking criterion</th>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Grade A-H (if appropriate)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Critical/conceptual analysis</td>
<td>The blog provides a host of insightful thinking, about the uses of creative methods and how argued for. Given the discussions of the course, it could have created an even deeper discussion to debate the meanings and assumptions given to 'innovative', 'creative' methods, and power relationships thereof between children and adults.</td>
<td>B-C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strength/cohesion of argument</td>
<td>The critiques are effectively put forward. The first paragraphs are the most tightly structured; the second set of paragraphs are less tightly organised, and have several arguments that would need to be tied in (or edited out)</td>
<td>B-C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of sources/evidence</td>
<td>Very good use is made of further sources</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structure &amp; organisation</td>
<td>The blog was clearly organised. See above re argument</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breadth and relevance of reading</td>
<td>The blog could only have been improved by further use of sources (but was not required by the assessment).</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarity of expression, presentation and referencing</td>
<td>The blog was very lucidly written and well-referenced.</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suggestions for future work</td>
<td>The blog was a very good contribution, in ably meeting the task set, in developing a number of critical considerations and making good use of other resources. For development, even more use of resources and further critique would have developed and deepened the critical consideration.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Critical Comment1:
The Citizen Me! online resource has been developed by the NSW Commission for Children and Young People (CCYP) to assist organisations to meaningfully and effectively involve children and young people in their decision making. Section 3 focuses on the methods by which children and young people can participate in consultation or research activities. I have made two observations about the information in this section.

The purpose of innovative techniques
The CCYP does not explain the purpose of using innovative techniques in this toolkit. It would be important for anyone referring to the toolkit to understand the many reasons creative methods of engagement (as opposed to more traditional approaches) are useful in engaging with children. Children are often seen as incompetent as a result of their age. However, Melton et al (2014) highlight that “...‘incompetence’ is sometimes a methodological artefact...” (p8). Thereby, our focus on providing ways of communication that tap into children’s natural creativity widens the potential for their genuine contribution. They are innovative because they do not rely as heavily on written or verbal communication methods, which children may still be developing. The task-based activities can enable children to feel more comfortable with adult researchers. The creative task can change the power inequalities between child and adult because both are seen as active partners in the research (Christensen and James 2000).

Furthermore, the toolkit does not take into account the widely accepted practice of using task-based methods with traditional research methods. Punch (2002) recommends this combination to ensure we treat children the same as adults; avoid children being patronized; and that they have a range of opportunities to display their competencies.

Creative engagement with different ages
The second concern is that the toolkit suggests creative methods for children in the different stages of development. We cannot know what methods are suitable for each child based on their age. It does rightly state that “it is very difficult to attach specific methodological techniques to fixed ages... Cognitive capacities and maturity levels will differ within each age group.” (p36). This is not only the case for children of different ages, but also children’s contexts, such as those living in disadvantaged communities or with comparatively fewer opportunities for formal education. Children are a heterogeneous group. However, the suggested methods provided, (undoubtedly based solely on age), become less arts-based or creative as age increases. This assumes that older children will not

---

1 Postgraduate Development Course: Using Creative Methods in Research with Children and Young People Assessment for 2014
be interested in particular examples of creativity and provides an inaccurate frame for the use of creative methods.

All creative engagement approaches can be appropriate at any age/stage of cognitive development, with children, adolescents and adults. They can provide an alternative medium for expression, (especially on sensitive issues), be a diversionary but legitimate activity, and offer a sense of fun and enjoyment which is appealing to the participant thereby encouraging engagement and a lasting impact. The suitability of a particular method is based on how well it will meet the aims of the research or consultative project and the practicalities of using the approach with a specific group of participants. We can also consider the need to ask children and young people about what they think of methods (Hill: 2006) and/or enabling children to develop the research tools themselves (Coad et al: 2009). Child-Led research is a case in point where children and young people have the opportunity to design their own research methods when seeking other children’s perspectives.

Overall, it is essential to take a ‘reflexive and critical approach’ (Punch 2002, p330) when using innovative techniques to consider the benefits and drawbacks of each technique. We should keep in mind that, “As with other vulnerable groups, researchers need to be open and resourceful, to ensure that participation translates into a positive experience for the children...” (Melton et al 2014, p22).
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